

CMT Instructions for Area Chairs – Reviewer suggestions

1. Log in to CMT (<https://cmt3.research.microsoft.com/MICCAI2026/Submission/MetaReview>) using your user ID (the email address with “Meta-reviewer status”) and selecting your role as “Meta-Reviewer“, to check the papers allocated to you. (See Screenshot #1 below).
2. You can view the titles, abstracts, download individual papers or, under “Actions“, select “Download files” to download all papers including supplemental materials as a single archive. Please note there may be a small number of papers in your batch that may not be a perfect match, but the allocation has been optimized under the constraints of TPMS scores, subject areas, conflicts, overall numbers of papers and area chairs.
3. You can click on the Paper ID to view the submission summary of the paper, including the statement of Novelty/Impact.
4. Ignore the Meta-review and Review Rating columns for now. For each paper, select on the far right the “more” -> “Edit suggestions” menu to see the list of non-conflicting reviewers for the paper.

Screen-shot #1

Meta-Reviewer Console Tip: you can hover over column headers and click “Hide” to remove columns.
Please click here to view Welcome Message & Instructions.

Paper ID ↑	Title	Subject Areas		Suggestions	Meta-Review	Review Rating		Actions
		Primary	Secondary			Completed	% Completed	
1143	COTS-Nets: Cross-Organ Tumor Segmentation Neural Networks for Pancreatic Endoscopic Ultrasound Image Segmentation <small>Show Abstract</small> Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound +3 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #1 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More ▾ Edit Suggestions
1164	OmniSeg: Omni-Subtype Tumor Segmentation Network for Pancreatic Lesion Diagnosis <small>Show Abstract</small> Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound +2 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #1 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	Email Reviewers ▾
4783	Enhancing Vision-Language Medical Conformal Predictors with Transduction <small>Show Abstract</small> Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Eye 1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray +4 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #1 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More ▾
4796	Towards a Realistic Few-shot Adaptation of Medical Vision-Language Models <small>Show Abstract</small> Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Eye 1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray +3 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #2 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More ▾

The list of reviewers is sorted in alphabetical order by default. You can search a reviewer by name. You can sort the list of reviewers according to their Relevance or their TPMS score (See Screenshot #2 below).

TPMS rank is determined by overlap of the keyword profiles extracted from the paper and the reviewer’s publications uploaded to TPMS, with **1 being the highest rank (best match)** and ~4400 being the worst.

Relevance Score is determined by the overlaps of the subject areas between the paper and the reviewer with zero being the least relevant (no overlaps). CMT heavily favors matching of “primary” topic area by assigning a score of 0.8 to the total (as compared to a score of 0.16 when the “primary” matches a “secondary” topic). It adds 0.04 for each matching “secondary” topic, and it does not penalize mismatched topics, nor does it weight according to the number of topics listed.

Since 2025, we have asked authors and reviewers to choose either “MIC” or “CAI” as the primary subject area and at least one topic in each of the following three categories: “Body”, “Modality”, and “Application”. We hope that this approach will better utilize the relevance scores. If the authors and reviewers followed the guidelines, the relevance score will be calculated as:

$$0.8 + (\# \text{ of matching "secondary" topics}) * 0.04$$

To sort all reviewers by Relevance, click on “Relevance” two times to sort in decreasing order (most to least relevant). To sort all reviewers by TPMS rank, click on “TPMS rank” once to sort in an increasing order (best match (1) to least (~4400)).

Note that the results from the two sorting criteria may not always agree. **We suggest that you place more emphasis on the TPMS ranking**, noting however that not all reviewers have completed their TPMS profiles (<5% still missing). You can also click the link in the External Profile Column to view the Google Scholar or other profiles of the reviewer. Since these are the reviewers that you will potentially manage, it is important that you select the most appropriate reviewers for each paper.

Screen-shot #2

Edit Suggestions

Paper ID: 1143
 Paper Title: COTS-Nets: Cross-Organ Tumor Segmentation Neural Networks for Pancreatic Endoscopic Ultrasound
 Image Segmentation
 Primary Subject Area: 0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC
 Secondary Subject Areas: 2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound 3. Applications -> Image Segmentation 4. Machine Learning -> Deep Learning 4. Machine Learning -> Domain Adaptation / Harmonization

Hide subject areas

1 - 26 of 286

Rank	First Name	Last Name	Email	User Type	Subject Areas		Bid	Tpms Rank ↑	Relevance	Is Assigned?	Assigned	Suggested	External Profile	Add
					Primary	Secondary								
1	Chenxi	Zhang	chenxizhang@fudan.edu.cn	Faculty	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Urology 2. Modalities -> MRI 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound +2 more	Not Entered	1	0.88	No	0	0	GS DBLP	Add
2	Linkai	Peng	linkai.peng@northwestern.edu	Ph.D. Student	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Abdomen 1. Body -> Eye 1. Body -> Lung +9 more	Not Entered	2	0.92	No	0	0	GS S2 DBLP	Add
3	Shihuai	Hu	eshu@mail.nwpu.edu.cn	Ph.D. Student	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Abdomen 1. Body -> Eye 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray +6 more	Not Entered	3	0.92	No	0	0	GS S2 DBLP	Add
4	Boyun	Zheng	1155229640@link.cuhk.edu.hk		4. Machine Learning -> Domain Adaptation / Harmonization	2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 2. Modalities -> Photograph / ... 3. Applications -> Image Reg... +3 more	Not Entered	4	0.24	No	0	0	GS	Add
5	Qin	Hao	haoqin@stu.xju.edu.cn	Ph.D. Student	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Abdomen 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray 3. Applications -> Image Seg... +1 more	Not Entered	5	0.88	No	0	0	GS S2 DBLP	Add

- Please at least read the abstract of the paper to help you determine the best fit with reviewers, and suggest ***at least*** 10 - 15 potential reviewers for each paper, in a ranked order. Use the “Add” link on the right-hand column to add the reviewer to your list. These will appear in a list at the top of your page according to the order of your selection. You can reorder your selected list by using the arrow buttons in the right-hand column (Screen-shot #3 below). This final list should reflect how you rank each reviewer (most highly recommended reviewer to least recommended).

Screen-shot #3

Edit Suggestions

Paper ID: 1143
 Paper Title: COTS-Nets: Cross-Organ Tumor Segmentation Neural Networks for Pancreatic Endoscopic Ultrasound Image Segmentation
 Primary Subject Area: 0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC
 Secondary Subject Areas: 2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound 3. Applications -> Image Segmentation 4. Machine Learning -> Deep Learning 4. Machine Learning -> Domain Adaptation / Harmonization

[Hide subject areas](#)

CANDIDATE RANKING

Rank	First Name	Last Name	Email	TPMS Rank	Actions
1	Kitty	Wong	submissions@miccal.org	1	X ↑ ↓
2	Kitty	Wong	wong.kitty@gmail.com	2	X ↑ ↓

[Hide candidate ranking](#)

[Back to Meta-Reviewer Console](#)

1 - 25 of 2568 1 2 3 4 5 Show: 25 50 100 All Clear All Filters

Rank	First Name	Last Name	Email	User Type	Subject Areas		Bkd	Tpms Rank	Relevance	Is Assigned?	Assigned	Suggested	External Profile	Actions
					Primary	Secondary								
1	Kitty	Wong	submissions@miccal.org				Not Entered			No	0	1	GS	
2	Kitty	Wong	wong.kitty@gmail.com				Not Entered			No	0	1	GS DBLP	
	Aaron	Kujawa	aaron.kujawa@kcl.ac.uk	Post-doc	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Brain 2. Modalities -> MRI 3. Applications -> Image Seg... +1 more	Not Entered	1203	0.88	No	0	0		Add
	Aayush	Tyagi	aayush16081@iitd.ac.in				Not Entered	249		No	0	0	GS	Add
	Abbas	Khan	acw676@emul.ac.uk	Ph.D. Student	0. Primary Subject	1. Body -> Cardiac 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray	Not Entered	124	0.92	No	0	0	GS	Add

- When you have completed the selection above, you can return to the main “Meta-reviewer” page by clicking on the “Back to Meta-Reviewer Console” button at the top of the reviewer list. At this point you will see your list of suggestions in the “Suggestions” column.

Meta-Reviewer Console Tip: you can hover over column headers and click “Hide” to remove columns.
 Please click [here](#) to view Welcome Message & Instructions.

Meta-Reviewing

1 - 4 of 4 1 Show: 25 50 100 All Clear All Filters Actions

Paper ID	Title	Subject Areas		Suggestions	Meta-Review	Review Rating		Actions
		Primary	Secondary			Completed	% Completed	
1143	COTS-Nets: Cross-Organ Tumor Segmentation Neural Networks for Pancreatic Endoscopic Ultrasound Image Segmentation Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound +3 more	1 - Kitty Wong (submissions@miccal.org) 2 - Kitty Wong (wong.kitty@gmail.com)	ID: Meta-Reviewer #1 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More
1164	OmniSeg: Omni-Subtype Tumor Segmentation Network for Pancreatic Lesion Diagnosis Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> Endoscopy 2. Modalities -> Ultrasound +2 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #1 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More
4783	Enhancing Vision-Language Medical Conformal Predictors with Transduction Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Eye 1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray +4 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #1 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More
4796	Towards a Realistic Few-shot Adaptation of Medical Vision-Language Models Download	0. Primary Subject Area -> MIC	1. Body -> Eye 1. Body -> other 2. Modalities -> CT / X-Ray +3 more		ID: Meta-Reviewer #2 Enter Meta-Review	0	0%	More

7. Repeat this process for each of the papers you have been assigned.
8. We ask you to complete the above steps by ***Monday, March 16 23:59 PT***.

Note: The metareview ID number shown is irrelevant and is **NOT** an indication of the number of ACs assigned to the paper. At this stage, you are the primary AC of ALL your assigned papers.