

MICCAI Reviewer Orientations (North America/Europe) – Questions answered

is there a limit on the length of the reviews?

No

How to know if a paper is desk-rejected? Were the notifications send through CMT?

This question has been answered live

How should papers written using LLMs be addressed?

authors are allowed to use LLMs to polish their submissions

Are the authors allowed to provide additional experiments in the rebuttal phase, even if not requested?

This question has been answered live

What if the writers have used LLM to write thier paper and it is obvious? How should we address it?

please still review for scientific merit, and note any concerns in your comments

what if we have an impression that paper is written mostly with LLM?

please still review for scientific merit and highlight your concerns in your review

As a first time reviewer for miccai, can we request less papers (eg. 3) to review instead of the usual 5?

This question has been answered live

If there is a good innovation methodology (seems to be) but get validated using small/single dataset.

Is it also not allowed to ask for further validate on another data (even just testing)?

no, this is not allowed. you need to make judgment on what's provided. keep in mind miccai presentation does not need to be the final version of the effort.

I know we are not suppose to ask for additional experiments, but what if the paper is severely lacking the experiment, such as no ablation study or no competing methods? Can we point this out as a weakness in the review?

yes, that could be basis for lack of rigor and you can rate the paper accordingly. Always explain your decision.

If sharing code in a rebuttal - do I have to anonymize my repo (pain in the butt and I don't like having my work shared without my name attached) or can I just share the repo attached to my own github account?

it has to be anonymized

Could you indicate how we should consider grammar/spelling errors that don't really affect the content?

Archana Venkataraman would like to answer this question live.

What happens in the rebuttal phase if authors provide additional experiments spontaneously ?

Archana Venkataraman would like to answer this question live.

Many time I saw authors promising to share source code during reviewe and then nothing was shared. Should I trust authors?

Archana Venkataraman would like to answer this question live.

Can we request source LaTeX or Word document if find potential non-compliance or report it to AC ?

Archana Venkataraman would like to answer this question live.

Is it forbidden to cite an archive paper in a MICCAI paper ?

Juan E. Iglesias Gonzalez would like to answer this question live.

Can we share the anonymized codebase if the reviewers have questions about its reproducibility

Juan E. Iglesias Gonzalez would like to answer this question live.

Can missing citations be a strong basis of reviewing process?

Is it possible to include any of this Q&A when you send out the slides?

Juan E. Iglesias Gonzalez would like to answer this question live.

If there is reproducibility question from the reviewers but the work is from industry, is there a way to share code in a confidential manner only for the purpose of reviewing.

Juan E. Iglesias Gonzalez would like to answer this question live.

Is requesting to change figures or minor changes in results allowed?

very minor things eg p-value requests are fine. Otherwise no

Do you have a target acceptance rate for MICCAI?

don't overthink it! Even if it was, you're only reviewing 5 papers which isn't a large enough number to base your threshold on a target acceptance rate. You could get 5 great papers or 5 terrible papers out of chance.

this session recording available for later reference?

yes!